

Талантбекова Кымбат Талантбековна
ОИӨК «Кыргызстан Эл аралык Университети»,
«Аймак таануу» багытынын 2 курсунун магистранты
Биланова Асель Билановна
Окутуучу
ОИӨК «Кыргызстан эл аралык университети»
Талантбекова Кымбат Талантбековна
УНПК «Международный университет Кыргызстана»,
Магистрант 2 курса, направление «Регионоведение»
Биланова Асель Билановна
Преподаватель
УНПК «Международный Университет Кыргызстана»
Talantbekova Kymbat Talantbekovna
ERPC “International University of Kyrgyzstan”,
2nd year master’s student, “Regional studies”
kymbattalantbekova999@gmail.com
Bilanova Asel Bilanovna
Teacher
ERPC “International University of Kyrgyzstan”

АМЕРИКАЛЫК ЭЛИТА: АКШ САЯСАТЫНЫН НЕГИЗГИ БАГЫТТАРЫ

АМЕРИКАНСКАЯ ЭЛИТА: ОСНОВНЫЕ НАПРАВЛЕНИЯ ПОЛИТИКИ США

THE AMERICAN ELITE: THE MAIN DIRECTIONS OF USA POLICY

Аннотациясы: Макалада америкалык элитанын саясий системадагы ролу жана алардын негизги саясий багыттары каралат. Америка Кошмо Штаттарынын саясий системасына жана алардын саясаттагы кадамдарына өзгөчө көңүл бурулат.

Негизги сөздөр: саясат, АКШ, элита, жогорку коом, өзгөрүүлөр, баалуулуктар, мыйзамдуулук, конфронтация, аскердик күч, токтотуу, бир тараптуулук.

Аннотация: В работе рассматривается роль американской элиты в политической системе и их основные политические направления. Особое внимание уделено на политическую систему США а также их ходы в политике.

Ключевые слова: политика, США, элиты, высшее общество, изменения, ценности, легитимность, конфронтация, военная сила, сдерживание, односторонность.

Abstract: The paper examines the role of the American elite in the political system and their main political directions. Particular attention is paid to the political system of the United States as well as their moves in politics.

Key words: politics, USA, elites, high society, changes, values, legitimacy, confrontation, military force, deterrence, unilateralism.

The US elite — along with the nation as a whole – has entered a stage of self-destruction. There are no societies without elites, let's remember at least our 1917 year: the former elite was destroyed at the root, including physically, but at the same moment a new one appears. And less than

20 years later, many Civil War murderers, feeling deceived, start this anti-elite war anew – but with the same result. In general, human communities are always run by elites, the only question is their quality.

Elites go through five stages. At the first stage, the society is headed by "founders", ideologists who captivate everyone with their ideas about what to do and where to go. Then come the "builders" who implement the ideas. Then "modernizers" appear — people look at what has been built, try to return to the original ideas in order to update them and build even better. Then it's time for "managers" who are just trying to maintain the existing system. And finally, the fifth stage comes – the stage of death.² Here, we note, about the fact that peoples and civilizations suddenly fall into a period of passionarity and win such victories, build such structures that you don't seem to expect from them. And then such people calm down, fall asleep, or even fall into collapse – until the next cycle (if they do not disappear from the face of the earth, of course).

So, the current elites of the United States are no longer leaders of society and do not lead it anywhere (and the meaning of the existence of elites, we recall, is only in this leadership, that is, in serving society). They closed in their vicious circle and turned into a deaf and tyrannical monolith. They betrayed the country, they smell not of authority, but only of wealth. They are ossified in their sense of the right to leadership and in eternal rightness, believing that they are the nation. The train of thought here is this: the American elites are trying to somehow retain power, including with the help of bribes to their democratic electorate. But there won't be enough bribes for everyone, so the Democrats are intimidating "their own" with a threat from the right, from Republicans, conservatives, "red" US states. That is, the elite (also known as the Democrats) deliberately aggravates the internal struggle even more. And she herself does not understand that in this way she worsens her own situation.

There is logic in this. It is not difficult to notice the common feature of all the campaigns that, as a whole, create the current American "awakened", left-wing ideology, denoted by the word woke. In all cases, this is a split of society, the creation of enemies where they did not seem to be, and cannot be. To sow hatred between men and women (feminism in its current form) is a brilliant idea in its madness. Instill hatred towards those who "kill the planet" (that is, they are engaged in the relevant industries) – from the same series. But so far, all kinds of "racism" are in the first place. The world stopped developing in accordance with American values and interests, and the United States was challenged, American global leadership and global dominance, by the fact that the United States entered into a simultaneous double confrontation with two major non-Western centers of power – China and Russia. And the double simultaneous confrontation of the United States with China and Russia is by far the most important direction of American foreign policy. A prerequisite for other directions of American foreign policy, because American policy towards Europe, the Middle East and Asia and even Latin America is largely determined by the confrontation of the United States with China and Russia. There is no alternative to this confrontation today. The United States today, for reasons fundamental to itself, cannot accept equal relations with China and Russia as independent legitimate centers of power that are not torn apart in accordance with American ideology and that are outside the American order. Over the past 30 years, the US policy towards China and Russia has been to involve, that is, the United States has tried to involve these countries in the US-centric system, naturally, as subordinate players. If countries refuse to get involved, and both Russia and China have clearly demonstrated that they refuse to get involved, that they consider themselves legitimate independent centers of power that should exist on an equal footing with the United States in this world, then the only alternative is deterrence, this is confrontation. And the United States is pursuing a confrontational foreign policy towards both Russia and China.³

²Modern US foreign policy. In 2 vols. Vol.1-2 / Ed. by G. A. Trofimenko. M.: Nauka, 1984.

³ Frolova O. A. – THE POLITICAL SYSTEM OF THE USA: INSTITUTIONS AND ACTORS. Textbook for undergraduate and graduate studies – Moscow: Yurayt Publishing House – 2019 – 142s. – ISBN number: 978-5-534-09835-8 – Electronic text // EBS YURAYT URL: <https://urait.ru/book/politicheskaya-sistema-ssha-instituty-i-aktory-442153>

The main tools in this confrontational policy are the following: an effort, an increase in the military spending of the United States in order to significantly surpass Russia and China in the military sphere and make themselves unattainable, so that Russia and China could not even think about competing with the United States in the military sphere, to ensure their military unattainability. The United States is increasing defense spending precisely because of the factor of China and Russia. Secondly, the United States exerts economic pressure on both China and Russia. ⁴This is a trade war with China, this is the policy of technological restrictions and technological restrictions of China, with regard to China, the goal of the United States is to prevent China's technological development, to prevent China from being able to compete with the United States in the field of technology. And these are, of course, sanctions against Russia. Further, the United States is deliberately destroying the remaining arms control regimes. The United States is deliberately trying to weaken the relations of both China and Russia with third countries, with partners of China and Russia. This is done both through indirect sanctions and through indirect pressure on these countries. The second main direction of American policy, the second significant trend, the fundamental trend of American foreign policy is a significant strengthening of American foreign political egoism, mercantilism, one-sidedness. Unilateralism is when the United States makes and implements the most important foreign policy decisions unilaterally without discussion with its partners, violates international law, and so on. The United States refuses to play the role of a benevolent hegemon, a priori defender of international trade, a global supplier of public goods, refuses to lead in the fight against climate change, makes its foreign aid even more mercantilistic, we help only those who agree with us, those who disagree with us, we do not help. The United States is changing the terms of relations with its allies and partners. Note that in 2020, the US government in no way destroyed allied relations, it did not destroy NATO, it did not destroy the US-Japanese alliance, the US-Saudi alliance, it tried to make these allied relations more beneficial to America itself, so that the allies would pay and the services that the United States provides to them and America would be obeyed implicitly, that is, he wanted to make these alliances more profitable for the United States under new international conditions.

I can say that Russian-American relations are in a state of a new cold war, and their confrontation will continue for at least several more years, and maybe a decade and a half or two decades. Because the United States, both internally and externally, that is, both in its domestic and in its foreign policy, is at a turning point, experiencing a milestone period in its history, its development. And it is very difficult and difficult for the United States to adapt to the new domestic political environment and to the new international political environment. And so many of the crisis phenomena and trends that we observe in the world as a whole, they are the result of these difficulties of American adaptation. That is, the development of the United States has a very great impact on the development of the whole world, including the development of Russia. Why? Because the United States is still the only superpower that is significantly ahead of all other countries in the world in terms of total power potential. The United States is significantly ahead of all countries of the world, especially in the military plane, the military budget of the United States today is 740 billion dollars. Billions of dollars! For comparison, Russia's military budget is about \$ 61 billion. The United States spends as much on defense as the rest of the world together. And the United States occupies a central position in the international system, they have a global system of alliances, military-political allies and the system of military-political alliances of the United States is located in all key regions of the world, on all key continents: in Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and Latin America – there are military-political allies of the United States. You know about the military-political bloc of NATO, it is the main multilateral ally of the United States, their other alliances are bilateral in nature. I can say that the US dollar is still and will remain the world reserve currency and the main currency of international settlements. The United States was carried away by the idea of world domination and the creation of a unipolar

⁴ Bystrova A.S., Daugavet A.B., Duka A.V., Kolesnik N.V., Nevsky A.V., Tev D.B. Institutionalization of the political elite: sources of recruitment and career // Power and Elites. 2019. Vol. 6. No. 2. p. 24-66

world, which in recent decades has not been completely hidden by American politicians. The US foreign policy relies mainly on military decisions due to a sense of its own military superiority and disregard for the interests of other countries and suffers from the low professionalism of top-level diplomats. US policy remains a global superpower for the time being, but if they make a mistake in their policy moves, then maybe Russia or China will become a great superpower instead.

List of literature:

1. Modern US foreign policy. In 2 vols. Vol.1-2 / Ed. by G. A. Trofimenko. M.: Nauka, 1984.
 2. Frolova O. A. – THE POLITICAL SYSTEM OF THE USA: INSTITUTIONS AND AC- TORS. Textbook for undergraduate and graduate studies – Moscow:Yurayt Publishing House – 2019 – 142s. – ISBN number: 978-5-534-09835-8 – Electronic text // EBS YUR- AYT URL: <https://urait.ru/book/politicheskaya-sistema-ssha-instituty-i-aktory-442153>
 3. Bystrova A.S., Daugavet A.B., Duka A.V., Kolesnik N.V., Nevsky A.V., Tev D.B. Insti-tutionalization of the political elite: sources of recruitment and career // Power and Elites.2019. Vol. 6. No. 2. p. 24-66
 4. Shakleina T.A. – Russia and the USA in world politics: Scientific publication – Publishing House "Aspect Press" – 2017 – 336p. – ISBN number: 978-5-7567-0888-2 – Electronic text // EBS LAN – URL: <https://e.lanbook.com/book/97257>
- Davydova Yu. A., Kokoulina O. P., Denisenkova, N. N. (2019). U.s. Foreign Policy At The Beginning Of The XXI Century: Relations With China. MGRU Bulletin. History and Political Science Series, (2), 95-102. <https://doi.org/10.18384/2310-676X-2019-2-95-102>